arXiv:math/9805033v3 [math.SP] 6 Apr 1999

The inverse spectral problem for first order systems on the half line

Matthias Lesch and Mark Malamud

Dedicated to the memory of M. G. Krein on the occasion of the 90th anniversary of his birth

ABSTRACT. On the half line $[0,\infty)$ we study first order differential operators of the form

$$B\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx}+Q(x),$$

where $B:=\begin{pmatrix}B_1 & 0\\ 0 & -B_2\end{pmatrix}$, $B_1,B_2\in \mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C})$ are self–adjoint positive definite matrices and $Q:\mathbb{R}_+\to \mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{R}_+:=[0,\infty)$, is a continuous self–adjoint off–diagonal matrix function.

We determine the self–adjoint boundary conditions for these operators. We prove that for each such boundary value problem there exists a unique matrix spectral function σ and a generalized Fourier transform which diagonalizes the corresponding operator in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$.

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix function σ to be the spectral measure of a matrix potential Q. Moreover we present a procedure based on a Gelfand-Levitan type equation for the determination of Q from σ. Our results generalize earlier results of M. Gasymov and B. Levitan.

We apply our results to show the existence of $2n\times 2n$ Dirac systems with purely absolute continuous, purely singular continuous and purely discrete spectrum of multiplicity p, where $1\le p\le n$ is arbitrary.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 4
3. The spectral measure 7
4. Transformation operator and Gelfand–Levitan equation 12
5. The inverse problem 20
5.1. The main result 20
5.2. Some complements to the main result 25
6. Some generalizations, comments, examples 28

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A25 (Primary), 34L (Secondary).

6.1. Generalization of the main result Theorem 5.2 28
6.2. The degenerate Gelfand–Levitan equation 30
6.3. On unitary invariants of $2n \times 2n$ systems 32
References 35

1. Introduction

We consider the differential operator

$$L := B\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx} + Q(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$B_1, B_2 \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ are self–adjoint positive definite matrices and $Q : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, is a continuous self–adjoint matrix function. If $B_1 = B_2 = I_n$ then (1.1) is a Dirac operator.

It turns out that the operator (1.1) subject to the boundary condition

$$f_2(0) = Hf_1(0) \quad \text{with} \quad B_1 = H^* B_2 H \tag{1.2}$$

generates a self-adjoint extension L_H of the minimal operator corresponding to L. Here, $f_1(0), f_2(0)$ denote the first resp. last n components of the vector $f(0)$.

Let $Y(x, \lambda)$ be the $2n \times n$ matrix solution of the initial value problem

$$LY = \lambda Y, \quad Y(0, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

We will prove that there exists a unique increasing right–continuous $n \times n$ matrix function $\sigma(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, (spectral function or spectral measure) such that we have the symbolic identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(x, \lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) Y(t, \lambda)^* = \delta(x - t) I_{2n}. \tag{1.4}$$

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the inverse spectral problem for the operator L_H. This means to find necessary and sufficient conditions for a $n \times n$ matrix function σ to be the spectral function of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

For a Sturm-Liouville operator this problem has been posed and completely solved by I. Gelfand and B. Levitan in the well–known paper [**10**] (see also [**16**], [**23**], [**26**]). Later on M. Gasymov and B. Levitan proved similar results for 2×2 Dirac systems [**9**], [**23**, Chap. 12] (see also [**8**] and [**17**]).

We note that in [**23**, Chap. 12] the determination of a potential Q with prescribed spectral function σ is incomplete. The self-adjointness of Q is not proved.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some auxiliary results. In particular we prove the self-adjointness of the operator L_H.

In Section 3 we introduce the generalized Fourier transform

$$(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty Y(x,\lambda)^* f(x)dx$$

(see (3.4)) for $f \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and establish the existence of an $n \times n$ matrix (spectral) measure σ such that the Parseval equality

$$(f,g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})} = (\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f,\ \mathscr{F}_{H,Q}g)_{L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{1.4'}$$

which is equivalent to (1.4), holds. In the proof we follow Krein's method of directing functionals [**14**], [**15**]. Moreover we show that $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ is a unitary transformation from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ onto $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ which diagonalizes the operator L_H. Namely, $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q} L_H \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{H,Q} = \Lambda$ where $\Lambda : L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the multiplication operator by the function $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$. Similar results (with similar proofs) hold for Sturm-Liouville operators as well as for higher order differential operators.

In Section 4 we introduce (under the additional assumptions on B) a triangular transformation operator $I + K$ and present a sketch of proof of the representation $Y(\cdot,\lambda) = ((I+K)e_0)(\cdot,\lambda)$ where $e_0(x,\lambda)$ is the solution of (1.3) with $Q = 0$. Then we derive the linear Gelfand-Levitan equation

$$F(x,t) + K(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s)F(s,t)ds = 0, \quad x > t, \tag{1.5}$$

with $F(x,t)$ defined by (4.34). F is the analog of the so-called transition function (cf. [**16**]). We present two proofs of (1.5). The proof after Theorem 4.8 is close to the proofs in [**10**] and [**23**, Chap. 12]. The second one is relatively short. It is based on simple identities for kernels of Volterra operators (see (4.17)–(4.23)). In Proposition 4.6 we derive two representations (4.31) and (4.34) for $F(x,t)$ which easily imply (1.5). In other words, this proof derives the linear equation (1.5) directly from the nonlinear Gelfand-Levitan equation (4.31). This proof seems to be new and is essential in the sequel.

Furthermore, in Section 5 we solve the inverse problem (Theorem 5.2). Namely, starting with the transition matrix function $F(x,t)$ of the form (5.1') we prove the existence of the unique solution $K(x,t)$ of (1.5). Conversely, starting with $K(x,t)$ we determine the matrix potential $Q(x) = iBK(x,x) - iK(x,x)B$ and we prove that $Y(\cdot,\lambda) := ((I+K)e_0)(\cdot,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial value problem (1.3).

We present several criteria for the prerequisites of Theorem 5.2 to hold.

Finally, in Section 6 we present some generalizations and improvements of the main result. The degenerate Gelfand-Levitan equation is also considered here. We point out that we have obtained a sufficient condition for an increasing matrix function σ to be the spectral function of the operator L. In the special case that $B = (\lambda_1 I_n, -\lambda_2 I_n)$ (or more generally for the class (T_B), cf. Section 4) our conditions are also necessary. Finally, we prove the existence of $2n \times 2n$

systems with purely absolute continuous, purely singular continuous, and purely discrete spectrum of any given multiplicity p, $1 \leq p \leq n$.

In conclusion we mention some recent publications close to our work. D. Alpay and I. Gohberg [**2**],[**3**] have constructed some explicit formulas for the matrix potential of a Dirac system (1.1) from the rational spectral function. Their approach is based on the results of minimal factorizations and realizations of matrix functions [**4**].

A new approach to inverse spectral problems for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with partial information on the potential as well as to different kinds of uniqueness problems on the half-line has been recently proposed by F. Gesztesy and B. Simon (see [**12**], [**13**] and references therein). Furthermore, we mention the recent paper F. Gesztesy and H. Holden [**11**] on trace formulas for Schrödinger-type operators.

The results of this paper have been announced in [**20**], a preliminary version of this paper has been published in [**19**].

Acknowledgements

The first named author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and financial support of the Erwin–Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, where part of this work was completed. Furthermore, the first named author was supported through the Gerhard Hess Program and the Sonderforschungsbereich 288 of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

The second named author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and financial support of the Humboldt University, Berlin, where the part of this work was done.

2. Preliminaries

We consider again the operator (1.1) from the introduction. In the sequel for a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ the vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ will denote the first resp. last n components of v. In this paper scalar products will be antilinear in the first and linear in the second argument. This is necessary since we will be dealing with vector measures (see (3.1) below).

L is a formally self–adjoint operator acting on $H^1_{\mathrm{comp}}((0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. We denote by L^* the adjoint of L in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. To obtain self–adjoint extensions we impose boundary conditions of the form

$$H_2 f_2(0) = H_1 f_1(0). \tag{2.1}$$

Here, $H_1, H_2 \in \mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $f_1(0), f_2(0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the first n resp. last n components of $f(0)$, where $f \in H^1_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let L_{H_1,H_2} be the operator L^* restricted to the domain*

$$\mathscr{D}(L_{H_1,H_2}) := \{f \in \mathscr{D}(L^*) \,|\, H_2 f_2(0) = H_1 f_1(0)\}.$$

Then the operator L_{H_1,H_2} is self–adjoint iff the matrices H_1, H_2 are invertible and $B_1 = H^* B_2 H$, where $H := H_2^{-1} H_1$.

Consequently we have $L_{H_1,H_2} = L_{H_2^{-1}H_1,I} = L_{H,I}$. From now on we will denote $L_{H,I}$ by L_H and we will write the boundary condition always in the form

$$f_2(0) = Hf_1(0). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Since Q is continuous we have $\mathscr{D}(L^*) \subset H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Now choose a sequence of functions $\chi_m \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with the following properties:

(i) $\chi_m | (-\infty, m] = 1$,
(ii) $0 \le \chi_m \le 1$,
(iii) $|\chi_m'| \le \frac{1}{m}$.

If $f \in \mathscr{D}(L^*)$ then $\chi_m f \to f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and

$$L\chi_m f = B\frac{1}{i}\chi_m' f + \chi_m Lf \to Lf \tag{2.3}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. Thus $\chi_m f \to f$ in $\mathscr{D}(L^*)$.

For $f, g \in \mathscr{D}(L^*)$ we then find

$$\begin{aligned} &(L^*f, g) - (f, L^*g) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{l\to\infty} (L^*\chi_k f, \chi_l g) - (\chi_k f, L^*\chi_l g) \\ = \;\; & -i \lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{l\to\infty} < B\chi_k f(0), \chi_l g(0) >_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} = -i < Bf(0), g(0) >_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, $g \in \mathscr{D}(L^*_{H_1,H_2})$ iff for all $f \in \mathscr{D}(L_{H_1,H_2})$

$$0 = < Bf(0), g(0) >_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} . \tag{2.5}$$

This shows that any self–adjoint extension of L is given by a Lagrangian subspace V of the symplectic vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with symplectic form

$$\omega(v, w) := < Bv, w > = < B_1 v_1, w_1 > - < B_2 v_2, w_2 > .$$

Lagrangian means that $\dim V = n$ and $\omega | V = 0$. The domain of such an extension then is

$$\{f \in \mathscr{D}(L^*) \mid f(0) \in V\}.$$

Now let V be a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^n_-$. We denote by π_1, π_2 the orthogonal projections onto the first resp. second factor. Since the symplectic form ω is positive resp. negative definite on $\ker \pi_1$ resp. $\ker \pi_2$ and since $\dim V = n$ the maps π_1, π_2 restricted to V are isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{\pi}_1 : V \to \mathbb{C}^n_+, \quad \widetilde{\pi}_2 : V \to \mathbb{C}^n_-. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence $V = \{(x, \widetilde{\pi}_2 \circ \widetilde{\pi}_1^{-1} x) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^n_+\}$. Put $H := \widetilde{\pi}_2 \circ \widetilde{\pi}_1^{-1}$. Then $\omega | V = 0$ immediately implies $B_1 = H^* B_2 H$. This proves the proposition. □

Remark 2.2. 1. The previous proposition shows that the deficiency indices $n_\pm(L)$ are equal to n, i.e. $n_\pm(L) = n$. This means that at infinity we do not have to impose a boundary condition. Thus infinity is always in the 'limit point case', which essentially distinguishes first order systems from Sturm–Liouville operators and higher order differential operators ([**27, 7**]).

2. For scalar Dirac systems ($n = B_1 = B_2 = 1$) another proof of Proposition 2.1 has been obtained earlier by B.M. Levitan [**23**, Theorem 8.6.1].

The present proof is adapted from the standard proof of the essential self–adjointness of Dirac operators on complete manifolds (see e.g. [**18**, Theorem II.5.7]).

3. At the same time as our preprint [**19**] the paper Sakhnovich [**30**] appeared. Following Levitan's method he obtained some sufficient conditions for a canonical system to be selfadjoint. This is a system

$$J\frac{dy(x,\lambda)}{dx} = iH(x)y(x,\lambda), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $H(x)$ is a continuous nonnegative $2n \times 2n$ matrix function. The method of proof of Proposition 2.1 can be extended to arbitrary first order systems, in particular to generalize the recent result from [**30**] for canonical systems. Details will be given in a subsequent publication.

4. Another proof of the previous proposition could be given using the uniqueness of the solution of the Goursat problem for the hyperbolic system $\frac{du}{dt} = \pm iL_H^* u$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^2$. This method (see [**5**]) was also used to prove the essential self–adjointness of all powers of the Dirac operator on a complete manifold (cf. [**6**]). Sakhnovich's result [**30**] mentioned before also follows from the hyperbolic system method.

For the problem considered here we prefered to present an elementary direct proof.

From now on we will assume

$$B_1 = H^* B_2 H. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that this implies that H is invertible.

We first discuss in some detail the case $Q = 0$. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C})$ be a positive definite matrix. Then we put for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\mathscr{F}_A f(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iA^{-1}x\lambda} f(x)dx. \tag{2.9}$$

Then we have for $f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}^n)$ the Parseval equality

$$(f,g) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathscr{F}_A f)(\lambda)^* A^{-1} (\mathscr{F}_A g)(\lambda) d\lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

To prove (2.10) we may assume A to be diagonal, i.e. $A = \mathrm{diag}(a_1,\dots,a_n)$, because if $A = U\widetilde{A}U^*$ with a unitary matrix U then $(\mathscr{F}_A f)(\lambda) = U(\mathscr{F}_{\widetilde{A}} U^* f)(\lambda)$. Now $\mathscr{F}_A f(\lambda) = (\mathscr{F} f_j(\lambda/a_j))_{j=1,\dots,n}$ and (2.10) follows easily from the Parseval equality for the Fourier transform.

Now let

$$e_0(x,\lambda) := e^{i\lambda B^{-1}x}\begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda B_1^{-1}x} \\ e^{-i\lambda B_2^{-1}x} H \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.11}$$

and put

$$\mathscr{F}_{H,0} f(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty e_0(x,\lambda)^* f(x)dx = \mathscr{F}_{B_1}\widetilde{f}_1(\lambda) + H^*\mathscr{F}_{B_2}\widetilde{f}_2(-\lambda), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\widetilde{f}_j$ denotes the extension by 0 of f_j to $\mathbb{R}$.

If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ then the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_{B_1}\widetilde{f}_1(\lambda)^* B_1^{-1} H^* \mathscr{F}_{B_2}\widetilde{g}_2(-\lambda)d\lambda, \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_{B_2}\widetilde{f}_2(-\lambda)^* H B_1^{-1} \mathscr{F}_{B_1}\widetilde{g}_1(\lambda)d\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

are sums of scalar products of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathscr{F}\widetilde{\varphi}(-\lambda)}\mathscr{F}\widetilde{\psi}(\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. These scalar products vanish and hence we end up with the Parseval equality in the case of $Q = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_{H,0}f(\lambda)^* B_1^{-1} \mathscr{F}_{H,0}g(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_{B_1}\widetilde{f}_1(\lambda)^* B_1^{-1} \mathscr{F}_{B_1}\widetilde{g}_1(\lambda)d\lambda + \\ &\qquad\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_{B_2}\widetilde{f}_2(-\lambda)^* H B_1^{-1} H^* \mathscr{F}_{B_2}\widetilde{g}_2(-\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\qquad = (f, g), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

in view of (2.8) and (2.10).

3. The spectral measure

In this section we prove the existence of a spectral measure function for the self–adjoint operator L_H based on Krein's method of directing functionals [**14**], [**15**]. For the convenience of the reader we recall Krein's result.

Definition 3.1 ([**14**], [**15**]). Let A be a symmetric operator in a separable Hilbert space H and let E be a dense linear subspace of H containing $\mathscr{D}(A)$.

The system $\{\Phi_j\}_1^p$ of linear functionals defined on E and depending on $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a directing system of functionals for A in E if the following three conditions are fulfilled:

1. $\Phi_j(f;\lambda), j = 1, ..., p$, is an analytic function of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for each $f \in E$;
2. the functionals $\Phi_j(\cdot;\lambda_0)$ are linearly independent for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$;
3. for each $f_0 \in E$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the equation $Ag - \lambda_0 g = f_0$ has a solution in E if and only if

$$\Phi_j(f_0;\lambda_0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \le j \le p.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([**14**], [**15**]). *Let A be a symmetric operator in H with $\mathscr{D}(A) \subset E \subset H$ which has a directing system of functionals $\{\Phi_j(\cdot;\lambda)\}_1^p$ in E. Then*

1. *there exists an increasing $p \times p$ matrix function $\sigma(\lambda) = (\sigma_{jk}(\lambda))_{j,k=1}^p$ such that the equality*

$$(g, f) = \sum_{j,k=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\Phi_j(g;\lambda)}\Phi_k(f;\lambda)d\sigma_{jk}(\lambda)$$

holds for each $f, g \in E$.

2. *If* σ *is normalized by requiring it to be right–continuous with* $\sigma(0) = 0$ *then it is unique if and only if* $n_+(A) = n_-(A)$, *where* $n_\pm(A) := \dim\ker(A^* \mp i)$ *denote the deficiency indices of* A.

Definition 3.3. Let $\sigma(\lambda) = (\sigma_{ij}(\lambda))_{i,j=1}^n$ be an increasing $n \times n$ matrix function. On the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ of continuous $\mathbb{C}^n$–valued functions with compact support we introduce the scalar product

$$(f, g)_{L^2_\sigma} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) g(\lambda) := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f_i(\lambda)} g_j(\lambda) d\sigma_{ij}(\lambda). \tag{3.1}$$

We denote by $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [**27**]) the Hilbert space completion of this space.

Remark 3.4. From now on we will consider – without saying this explicitly – only right–continuous $n \times n$ matrix functions which map 0 to the 0–matrix. Such a function σ is determined by its corresponding matrix measure $d\sigma$.

We turn to general Q. For future reference we state the boundary value problem for L:

$$Lf = \lambda f, \quad f_2(0) = H f_1(0), \quad \text{where} \quad B_1 = H^* B_2 H. \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $Y : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{M}(2n \times n, \mathbb{C})$ *be the unique solution of the initial value problem*

$$LY(x, \lambda) = \lambda Y(x, \lambda), \quad Y(0, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then:

1. *There exists an increasing* $n \times n$ *matrix function* $\sigma(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, *(spectral function) such that the map*

$$\mathscr{F}_{H,Q} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \ni f \mapsto (\mathscr{F}_{H,Q} f)(\lambda) := F(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty Y(x, \lambda)^* f(x) dx \tag{3.4}$$

extends by continuity to an isometric transformation from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ *into the space* $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$, *i.e. for* $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ *we have the Parseval equation*

$$\int_0^\infty f^*(t) g(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^*(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) G(\lambda) \tag{3.5}$$

with F, G *being the* $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$*–transforms of* f, g.

2. *If* σ *is normalized by requiring it to be right–continuous with* $\sigma(0) = 0$ *then it is unique.*

Proof. 1. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be a fixed point and let L_b be the operator L^* restricted to the domain

$$\mathscr{D}(L_b) = \{f \in H^1([0, b], \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \,|\, f_2(0) = H f_1(0), f_1(b) = f_2(b) = 0\}. \tag{3.6}$$

It is clear that L_b is a symmetric operator and $L_b^* = (L_b)^*$ is a restriction of L^* to the domain

$$\mathscr{D}(L_b^*) = \{f \in H^1([0,b], \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \,|\, f_2(0) = Hf_1(0)\}. \tag{3.7}$$

We consider $\mathscr{D}(L_b)$ as a subset of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ identifying each function $f \in \mathscr{D}(L_b)$ with its continuation by zero to $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Since L_b is a regular differential operator on a finite interval, each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a regular type point for L_b, i.e. $\|(L_b - \lambda)f\| \geq \epsilon\|f\|$ for all $f \in \mathscr{D}(L_b)$ with some $\epsilon > 0$. In particular, $L_b - \lambda$ has closed range. Hence, for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^2([0,b]; \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ the equation

$$Lg - \lambda g = f, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.8}$$

has a solution $g \in L^2([0,b], \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ if and only if f is orthogonal to the kernel $\ker(L_b^* - \lambda)$, that is if $\int_0^b Y^*(x,\lambda)f(x)dx = 0$.

Denoting by Y_i the i-th column of Y, on rewrites the last equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(f;\lambda) \;\; &:= \;\; \int_0^b < Y_i(x,\lambda), f(x) > dx \\ &= \;\; \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_0^b \overline{Y_{ji}(x,\lambda)} f_j(x)dx = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

It is clear that the functionals Φ_i on $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$, defined by the left-hand side of (3.9), are linearly independent and holomorphic in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the conditions 1. and 2. of Definition 3.1 are satisfied. Since $E := L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ the functionals $\Phi_i(f,\lambda)$ thus form a directing system of functionals for the operator $A := L_H \restriction \mathscr{D}(L_H) \cap L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. By Krein's Theorem 3.2 there exists $\sigma(\lambda)$ such that (3.5) holds for arbitrary $f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$.

2. In view of Proposition 2.1 the operator $A = L_H \restriction \mathscr{D}(L_H) \cap L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ is essentially selfadjoint and consequently $n_+(A) = n_-(A) = 0$. Thus the uniqueness of $\sigma(\lambda)$ follows from the assertion 2. of Krein's theorem 3.2. □

Remark 3.6. 1. The Parseval identity may be symbolically rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(x,\lambda)d\sigma(\lambda)Y(t,\lambda)^* = \delta(x-t)I_{2n}. \tag{3.5'}$$

To obtain (3.5') from (3.5) it suffices to set in (3.5) $f(\xi) = \delta_x(\xi) \otimes e_i$, $g(\xi) = \delta_t(\xi) \otimes e_j, 1 \leq i,j \leq 2n$ and to note that $(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)(\lambda) = Y(x,\lambda)^* e_i$, $(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}g)(\lambda) = Y(t,\lambda)^* e_j$.

2. Another proof of Proposition 3.5 based on the approximation method proposed independently by B.M. Levitan [**23**, Chap. 8] and N. Levinson [**7**, Chap. 9] was given in the preliminary version of this paper [**19**].

For convenience we denote the extension of $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ by the same letter. Next we prove the surjectivity of $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$.

THEOREM 3.7. *Under the assumptions of Proposition* 3.5 *the mapping* $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ *is surjective, that is* $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ *maps* $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ *onto* $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$.

PROOF. So far we have proved that $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \longrightarrow L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ is an isometry. To prove surjectivity we mimick the proof of [**7**, Sec. 9.3] for second order operators.

Note first that for $f \in \mathscr{D}(L_H)$ we have

$$(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}L_Hf)(\lambda) = -Y(0,\lambda)^*\frac{1}{i}Bf(0) + \lambda(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)(\lambda) = \lambda(\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)(\lambda) \quad (3.10)$$

since in view of (3.2) and (3.3) $Y(0,\lambda)^*Bf(0) = 0$.

For $f \in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \cap \mathscr{D}(L_H)$ formula (3.10) follows from integration by parts. For arbitrary $f \in \mathscr{D}(L_H)$ it follows from the fact that $L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \cap \mathscr{D}(L_H)$ is a core for L_H. The latter follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Next we construct the adjoint of $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$: we put for $g \in L^2_{\sigma,\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R})$

$$(\mathscr{G}_Hg)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(x,\lambda)d\sigma(\lambda)g(\lambda). \quad (3.11)$$

Then for $f \in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{G}_Hg,f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})} &= \int_0^\infty (\mathscr{G}_Hg)(x)^*f(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda)^*d\sigma(\lambda)Y(x,\lambda)^*f(x)dx \\ &= (g,\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)_{L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

From the estimate

$$\left|(\mathscr{G}_Hg,f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})}\right| = \left|(g,\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}f)_{L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})}\right| \le \|g\|_{L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})} \quad (3.13)$$

we infer that $\mathscr{G}_H$ extends by continuity for $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, it equals the adjoint of $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$, i.e.

$$\mathscr{G}_H = \mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q}. \quad (3.14)$$

Since $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ is an isometry it remains to prove injectivity of $\mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q}$.

It follows from (3.10) and Proposition 2.1

$$\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}(L_H-\zeta)^{-1} = (\Lambda-\zeta)^{-1}\mathscr{F}_{H,Q} \quad (3.15)$$

for $\zeta = \nu + i\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. Here $\Lambda : L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}), (\Lambda g)(\lambda) := \lambda g(\lambda)$ denotes the operator of multiplication by λ. Therefore $(L_H-\bar\zeta)^{-1}\mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q} = \mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q}(\Lambda-\bar\zeta)^{-1}$ and hence we have the implication:

$$\Phi \in \ker\mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q} \Longrightarrow (\Lambda-\zeta)^{-1}\Phi \in \ker\mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q} \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}. \quad (3.16)$$

We put

$$\widetilde{Y}(x,\lambda) := \int_0^x Y(t,\lambda)dt. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that the i–th row $\widetilde{Y}_i(x,\lambda)$ of $\widetilde{Y}$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{Y}_i(x,\lambda)^* = \int_0^x Y(t,\lambda)^* e_i dt = (\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}(1_{[0,x]} \otimes e_i))(\lambda), \tag{3.18}$$

where e_i denotes the i–th unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Now let $\Phi \in \ker \mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q}$.

In particular $\widetilde{Y}_i(x,\cdot)^* \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ and thus in view of (3.12) and (3.16) we have for $x \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$

$$0 = (1_{[0,x]} \otimes e_i, \mathscr{F}^*_{H,Q} \frac{\varepsilon}{(\Lambda-\nu)^2+\varepsilon^2}\Phi) = (\widetilde{Y}_i^*, \frac{\varepsilon}{(\Lambda-\nu)^2+\varepsilon^2}\Phi), \tag{3.19}$$

thus

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}(x,\lambda) \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-\nu)^2+\varepsilon^2} d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda). \tag{3.20}$$

Since $\widetilde{Y}(x,\cdot)d\sigma(\cdot)\Phi(\cdot)$ is L^1 the dominated convergence theorem implies for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}(x,\lambda) \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-\nu)^2+\varepsilon^2} d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda) d\nu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}(x,\lambda) \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-\nu)^2+\varepsilon^2} d\nu d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda) \\ &= \pi \int_\alpha^\beta \widetilde{Y}(x,\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Differentiating by x and putting $x = 0$ yields for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$0 = \int_\alpha^\beta Y(0,\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda).$$

Since $Y(0,\lambda) = \binom{I}{H}$ and H is invertible we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta d\sigma(\lambda)\Phi(\lambda) = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies $\Phi = 0$ in $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$.

□

Remark 3.8. 1. We note that another proof of the uniqueness of the spectral function in Proposition 3.5 can be given using Theorem 3.7. To prove the uniqueness statement we assume we had another increasing right continuous $n \times n$ matrix function $\varrho, \varrho(0) = 0$, such that $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$ is a unitary transformation from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ onto $L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R})$. Then in view of (3.5) we have for all $F, G \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\lambda)^* d\varrho(\lambda) G(\lambda),$$

and hence the two Radon vector measures $d\sigma$ and $d\varrho$ coincide. By the right–continuity and the normalization $\varrho(0) = \sigma(0) = 0$ this implies $\sigma = \varrho$.

2. For $n = 1$ Proposition 3.5 follows from [**15**, Theorem 4]. We also note that a generalization of Krein's theorem to the case $n > 1$ may be obtained by a slight modification of the proof of Proposition 3.5.

3. In [**29**, Chap. 3] the existence of the spectral function for a canonical system (2.7) is stated. For nonsingular Hamiltonians this fact follows from Krein's Theorem 3.2 in just the same way as Proposition 3.5.

We note also that for a singular Hamiltonian similar results may be obtained by the corresponding generalization of Krein's Theorem 3.2 for linear relations.

Example 3.9. (2.15) shows that in the case $Q = 0$ we can choose for σ the function $\sigma_0(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1}\lambda$.

4. Transformation operator and Gelfand–Levitan equation

1. We present a special case of [**24**, Theorem 7.1], (see also [**25**, Theorem 1.2]). In the sequel we assume B to be a diagonal matrix, which can be achieved by conjugating L with an appropriate unitary matrix.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &B = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, \lambda_r I_{n_r}),\\ &n_1 = \min\{n_i \,|\, 1 \le i \le r\}, \quad n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_r = 2n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, we put

$$\Omega := \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, 0 \le t \le x\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let B be as in (4.1) and let $Q = (Q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ continuous, where Q_{ij} denotes the block–matrix decomposition with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \overset{r}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$. Moreover, we assume that Q is off–diagonal, i.e.*

$$Q_{ii} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \tag{4.3}$$

Let Y be the solution of the equation (3.3) satisfying

$$Y(0,\lambda) = A := \operatorname{col}(A_1, \dots, A_r), \quad A_j \in \mathrm{M}(n_j \times n_1, \mathbb{C}), \quad \operatorname{rank} A_j = n_1. \tag{4.4}$$

Then there exists a continuous function $K : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ such that we have

$$Y(x,\lambda) = Y_0(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K(x,t) Y_0(t,\lambda)dt, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$Y_0(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda B^{-1}x} A$$

is the solution of the equation (3.2) with $Q = 0$ and satisfying the same initial conditions (4.4).

If $Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ then $K \in C^1(\Omega, \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ and it satisfies

$$B\partial_x K(x,t) + \partial_t K(x,t) B + iQ(x)K(x,t) = 0, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$BK(x,x) - K(x,x)B + iQ(x) = 0, \tag{4.6b}$$

$$K(x,0)BA = 0. \tag{4.6c}$$

If $Q \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ *then* K *is the generalized continuous solution of* (4.6).

Conversely, if K *is a (generalized) solution of* (4.6) *then* $Y(x,\lambda)$ *defined by* (4.5) *is the (generalized) solution of the initial value problem* (3.3).

Sketch of proof. i) Suppose that $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ and that formula (4.5) holds. Substituting (4.5) into (3.3) and integrating by parts one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[BK(x,x) - K(x,x)B + iQ(x)\big]Y_0(x,\lambda) + K(x,0)BY_0(0,\lambda)\\&+\int_0^x \big[B\partial_x K(x,t) + \partial_t K(x,t)B + iQ(x)K(x,t)\big]Y_0(t,\lambda)dt = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Since $Y_0(0,\lambda) = A$ does not depend on λ one concludes from (4.7) and the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma that (4.7) is equivalent to (4.6). Thus in this case the representation (4.5) is equivalent to the solvability of the problem (4.6).

ii) Next we prove the existence of a (not unique) solution of the problem (4.6a)–(4.6b). Let $R(x,t)$ be one of them. Using the block–matrix representation $R(x,t) = (R_{ij}(x,t))_{i,j=1}^r$ we rewrite the problem (4.6a)–(4.6b) as

$$\lambda_i \partial_x R_{ij}(x,t) + \lambda_j \partial_t R_{ij}(x,t) = -\sqrt{-1}\sum_{p=1}^r Q_{ip}(x)R_{pj}(x,t), \quad 1 \le i,j \le r, \tag{4.8}$$

$$R_{ij}(x,x) = -\sqrt{-1}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{-1}\, Q_{ij}(x), \quad 1 \le i \ne j \le r. \tag{4.9}$$

It is clear that the system (4.8) is hyperbolic with real characteristics $l_{ij} : x = k_{ij}t + c (k_{ij} = \lambda_j\lambda_i^{-1})$. Thus, in $\Omega = \{0 \le t \le x < \infty\}$ we have the incomplete characteristic Cauchy problem (4.8), (4.9) with $(2n)^2 - n_1^2 - \ldots - n_r^2$ scalar conditions (4.9). Fixing $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and setting

$$k_{\min} = \max\{k_{ij} \mid\ k_{ij} \in (0,1),\ 1 \le i,j \le r\}, \quad k_{\max} = k_{\min}^{-1},$$

we consider the triangle $\triangle_{ABC}$ confined by the lines $AB:\ x = t,\ AC:\ x - x_0 = k_{\min}t,\ BC:\ x - x_0 = k_{\max}t$. We preserve the notation $Q(x)$ for a continuous extension to $\mathbb{R}$ of the function $Q(x)$ with the same norm. Furthermore, we denote by a and b the abscissas of the points A and B respectively. Now we impose the following $n_1^2 + \ldots + n_r^2$ conditions on the characteristic line AC :

$$R_{jj}(x,(x-1)k_{\min}) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [a, x_0], \quad j \in 1,...,r. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, we arrive at the Goursat problem (4.8)–(4.10) for the hyperbolic system (4.8) in the triangle $\triangle_{ABC}$. Integrating the system (4.8) along the characteristics and using (4.9), (4.10) one deduces the system of integral equations

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_i R_{ij}(x,t)\\&\quad = \frac{\lambda_i Q_{ij}(\xi_{ij}(x,t))}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} - \sqrt{-1}\int_{\xi_{ij}(x,t)}^x \sum_{p=1}^r Q_{ip}(\xi)R_{pj}(\xi,(\xi - x)k_{ij} + t)d\xi,\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where for brevity it is set $\lambda_i(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)^{-1}Q_{ij}(\xi_{ij}(x,t))=0$ for $i=j$ and

$$\xi_{ij}(x,t)=\begin{cases}(\lambda_j x-\lambda_i t)(\lambda_j-\lambda_i)^{-1}, & i\neq j,\\ a+(1-a)(x-t), & i=j.\end{cases}$$

For $Q\in C^1(\mathbb{R},\mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C})$ the system (4.11) is equivalent to the Goursat problem (4.8)–(4.10). The solvability (and uniqueness) of the solution of (4.11) is proved by the method of successive approximations.

For $Q\in C(\mathbb{R},\mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C}))\setminus C^1(\mathbb{R},\mathrm{M}(n,\mathbb{C})$ we understand the solution of (4.8)–(4.10) as a solution of (4.11).

iii) To finish the proof, starting with the solution $R(x,t)$ of the Goursat problem (4.8)–(4.10) we introduce a convolution operator

$$\Phi: f\to\int_0^x\Phi(x-t)f(t)dt$$

with $\Phi(x)=\operatorname{diag}(\Phi_1(x),\dots,\Phi_r(x))$ being a block–diagonal $2n\times 2n$ matrix function, consisting of $n_j\times n_j$ blocks Φ_j and define the operator K by the equality $I+K=(I+R)(I+\Phi)$. It is clear that K is a Volterra operator with the kernel

$$K(x,t)=R(x,t)+\Phi(x-t)+\int_0^x R(x,s)\Phi(s-t)ds. \tag{4.12}$$

Since the operator $I+R$ intertwines the restrictions L_0 and $-iB\otimes D_0$ of the operators L and $-iB\otimes D$ onto $\{f\in H^1([0,1],\mathbb{C}^{2n})\,|\,f(0)=0\}$, that is $L_0(I+R)=(I+R)(-iB\otimes D_0)$, so is $I+K$. This fact amounts to saying that $K(x,t)$ satisfies the problem (4.6a)–(4.6b). To satisfy the condition (4.6c) it suffices (in view of (4.12)) to choose $\Phi(x)$ as the solution of the equation

$$\Phi(x)BA+\int_0^x R(x,s)\Phi(s)BAds=-R(x,0)BA. \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}A_j=n_1, 2\le j\le r$, the Volterra equation (4.13) is of the second kind and therefore has the unique solution $\Phi\in C([0,\infty),\mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C}))$. Thus $K(x,t)$ is the required solution of (4.6a)–(4.6c). □

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 4.1 *let* $B=(\lambda_1 I_n,\lambda_2 I_n)$ *(that is* $r=2$.*)*

Then there exists a continuous function $K:\Omega\longrightarrow\mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ *such that we have*

$$Y(x,\lambda)=e_0(x,\lambda)+\int_0^x K(x,t)e_0(t,\lambda)dt, \tag{4.14}$$

where $e_0(x,\lambda)$ *was defined in* (2.11).

If $Q\in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C}))$ *then* $K\in C^1(\Omega,\mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C}))$ *and it satisfies*

$$B\partial_x K(x,t)+\partial_t K(x,t)B+iQ(x)K(x,t)=0, \tag{4.15a}$$

$$BK(x,x)-K(x,x)B+iQ(x)=0, \tag{4.15b}$$

$$K(x,0)B\begin{pmatrix}I\\ H\end{pmatrix}=0. \tag{4.15c}$$

If $Q \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ *then* K *is the generalized continuous solution of* (4.6).

Conversely, if K *is a (generalized) solution of* (4.15) *then* $Y(x, \lambda)$ *defined by* (4.14) *is the (generalized) solution of the initial value problem* (3.3). *This last statement holds even for general* B *of the form* (1.1).

2. We continue with some general remarks about Volterra operators:

For any continuous matrix function $K : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ we obtain a Volterra operator

$$Kf(x) := \int_0^x K(x,t) f(t) dt \tag{4.16}$$

acting on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ or $L^2([0,a], \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ for any $a > 0$. By slight abuse of notation we will use the same symbol for the operator and its kernel. The set of operators $I + K$ with K being a Volterra operator forms a group. The operator

$$R := (I + K)^{-1} - I \tag{4.17}$$

is again a Volterra operator with continuous kernel $R(x,t), t \le x$. From the equation

$$I = (I + R)(I + K) = (I + K)(I + R) \tag{4.18}$$

we deduce

$$RK = KR = -R - K. \tag{4.19}$$

Put

$$F := R + R^* + RR^*. \tag{4.20}$$

The kernel of F obviously is

$$F(x,t) = \begin{cases} R(x,t) + \displaystyle\int_0^t R(x,s) R(t,s)^* ds, & x > t, \\ R(t,x)^* + \displaystyle\int_0^x R(x,s) R(t,s)^* ds, & x < t. \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

Furthermore, using (4.19) we conclude

$$F + K + KF = R + K + R^* + RR^* + KR + KR^* + KRR^* = R^* \tag{4.22}$$

thus we have the "Gelfand–Levitan equation"

$$F + K - R^* + KF = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $K : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *be continuous and let* $R : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *be the continuous kernel of the Volterra operator* $(I + K)^{-1} - I$. *Then the function* $F : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *defined by* (4.21) *satisfies the "Gelfand–Levitan equation"*

$$F(x,t) + K(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s) F(s,t) ds = 0, \quad x > t, \tag{4.24}$$

$$F(x,t) - R(t,x)^* + \int_0^x K(x,s) F(s,t) ds = 0, \quad x < t. \tag{4.25}$$

Conversely, if $F_1 : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *is continuous and satisfies* (4.24) *then* $F_1 = F|\Omega$.

Proof. It only remains to prove the assertion about F_1. The difference $F(x,t) - F_1(x,t)$ satisfies the equation

$$F(x,t) - F_1(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s)[F(s,t) - F_1(s,t)]ds = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le x.$$

For each fixed $t \in [0,x]$ this is a homogeneous Volterra equation of the second kind and consequently has only the trivial solution $F(x,t) - F_1(x,t) = 0$. □

We turn back to the system (3.2).

Definition 4.4. We say that the system (3.2) (resp. the operator L) belongs to the class (T_B) if for this system there exists a transformation operator.

This means that the solution $Y(x,\lambda)$ of the initial value problem (3.3) admits a representation (4.14) with a continuous function $K : \Omega \to M(2n;\mathbb{C})$. Corollary 4.2 says that the system is of class (T_B) if $B = (\lambda_1 I_n, \lambda_2 I_n)$.

It follows easily from Proposition 4.6 below that for an operator L_H of class (T_B) the transformation operator $I + K$ is unique, i.e. the representation (3.3) for $Y(x,\lambda)$ is unique.

If the system is of class (T_B) then we denote by K the unique Volterra operator with continuous kernel satisfying (4.15). As before R denotes the Volterra operator defined by $R := (I+K)^{-1} - I$.

In particular we have in view of (4.15)

$$e_0(x,\lambda) = ((I+R)Y(\cdot,\lambda))(x) = Y(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x R(x,t)Y(t,\lambda)dt. \tag{4.26}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let L be of class (T_B).*

1. *Let σ be the spectral function of the boundary value problem* (3.2) *and let* $g \in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. *Put*

$$G_0(\lambda) := (\mathscr{F}_{H,0}g)(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e_0(x,\lambda)^* g(x)dx. \tag{4.27}$$

Then $G_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ and if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = 0 \tag{4.28}$$

then $g = 0$.

2. *We have* $\mathscr{F}_{H,0}(L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})) = \mathscr{F}_{H,Q}(L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n}))$.

Proof. In view of (4.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \big[Y(x,\lambda)^* + \int_0^x Y(t,\lambda)^* R(x,t)^* dt\big] g(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty Y(x,\lambda)^* \big[g(x) + \int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* g(t)dt\big]dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

hence $G_0(\lambda)$ is also the $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$–transform of the function

$$\widetilde{g}(x) := ((I+R^*)g)(x) = g(x) + \int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* g(t)dt. \tag{4.30}$$

Since $g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ we also have $\widetilde{g} \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. This shows the inclusion $\mathscr{F}_{H,0}(L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})) \subset \mathscr{F}_{H,Q}(L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n}))$. The converse inclusion is proved analogously using (4.5) instead of (4.26).

In view of the Parseval equality (Proposition 3.5) we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \widetilde{g}(x)^* \widetilde{g}(x)dx,$$

which by assumption (4.28) implies $\widetilde{g} = 0$. Since g has compact support (4.30) is a Volterra equation and thus $g = 0$. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let σ be the spectral function of the boundary value problem* (3.2) *and let $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1}\lambda$ be the corresponding spectral function for $Q = 0$. We abbreviate $\Sigma := \sigma - \sigma_0$.*

1. *Let L be of class (T_B) and let $I + R$ be the transformation operator of the form* (4.26)*. Furthermore, let F be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix function defined by* (4.21)*, i.e.*

$$F(x,t) := \begin{cases} R(x,t) + \displaystyle\int_0^t R(x,s)R(t,s)^* ds, & x > t > 0, \\ R(t,x)^* + \displaystyle\int_0^x R(x,s)R(t,s)^* ds, & 0 < x < t. \end{cases} \tag{4.31}$$

Then we have for all $f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(\lambda)^* d\Sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) g(t) dx dt, \tag{4.32}$$

where F_0, G_0 denote the $\mathscr{F}_{H,0}$–transforms of f, g.

2. *Again assuming L to be of class (T_B) we put*

$$\widetilde{e}_0(x,\lambda) := \int_0^x e_0(t,\lambda)dt. \tag{4.33}$$

Then the function

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{e}_0(x,\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) \widetilde{e}_0(t,\lambda)^* \tag{4.34}$$

exists and has a continuous mixed second derivative which coincides with $F(x,t)$, i.e. $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t}\widetilde{F}(x,t) = F(x,t)$.

3. *Conversely, given any increasing $n \times n$ matrix function σ put $\Sigma := \sigma - \sigma_0$. If the integral* (4.34) *exists and has a continuous mixed second derivative $F_1(x,t) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t}\widetilde{F}(x,t)$ then* (4.32) *holds for all $f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ with F_1 instead of F.*

Remark 4.7. We emphasize that 3. holds for arbitrary L of the form (3.2) not necessarily being of class (T_B).

We note that the identity (4.32) characterizes the spectral function of the problem (3.2). More precisely, if ϱ is an increasing (normalized) $n \times n$ matrix function such that (4.32) holds with $\Sigma_\varrho := \varrho - \sigma_0$ then $\varrho = \sigma$.

Indeed from (4.32) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(\lambda)^* d\varrho(\lambda) G_0(\lambda), \\ &F_0 := \mathscr{F}_{H,0} f, \quad G_0 := \mathscr{F}_{H,0} g, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

for all $f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. By Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 4.5, 2. this implies that (4.35) holds for all $F_0, G_0 \in L^2_\varrho(\mathbb{R})$, in particular it holds for all $F_0, G_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with compact support. Thus the vector measures $d\sigma, d\varrho$ and hence the right–continuous functions ϱ, σ coincide.

Proof. 1. In view of (4.29) F_0 is the $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$–transform of

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = f(x) + \int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* f(t) dt, \tag{4.30'}$$

thus the Parseval equality (3.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(\lambda)^* d\Sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) - (f,g) = (\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g}) - (f,g) \\ = \; &\int_0^\infty \Big(f(x) + \int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* f(t) dt\Big)^* \Big(g(x) + \int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* g(t) dt\Big) dx - (f,g) \\ = \; &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) g(t) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

by a straightforward calculation.

2. For $x, t \geq 0$ and $f_0, g_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ we apply 1. with $f(u) := 1_{[0,x]}(u) f_0, g(v) := 1_{[0,t]}(v) g_0$ and find

$$f_0^* \int_R \widetilde{e}_0(x,\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) \widetilde{e}_0(t,\lambda)^* g_0 = f_0^* \int_0^x \int_0^y F(u,v) du dv g_0, \tag{4.36}$$

which implies the first assertion.

3. To prove the converse statement we note that now we have (4.36) with $F_1(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \widetilde{F}(x,t)$. This identity implies (4.32) with F_1 instead of F for step functions

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j 1_{[a_j, b_j[}, \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j 1_{[c_j, d_j[}, \quad f_j, g_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}. \tag{4.37}$$

There is a slight subtlety since Σ is not necessarily increasing. However, we conclude from (4.32) and the Parseval equality that for all step functions f, g

$$(F_0, G_0)_{L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R})} = (f,g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})} + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) g(t) dx dt. \tag{4.38}$$

Since σ is increasing the assertion now follows from the denseness of the step functions in $L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. To complete the proof it remains to note that the equality $F(x,t) = F_1(x,t)$ is a consequence of (4.32) and (4.38). □

Combining Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 one immediately obtains the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that the system* (3.2) *is of class* (T_B)*. Let* σ *be its spectral measure function and* $\sigma_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1}\lambda$*. Then with* F *defined by* (4.34) *we have the Gelfand–Levitan equation*

$$F(x,t) + K(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s)F(s,t)ds = 0, \quad t < x. \tag{4.39}$$

Remark 4.9. Note that by Proposition 4.6 2. the function F is continuous also on the diagonal. In view of (4.21) the continuity of F at the diagonal implies $R(x,x) = R(x,x)^*$.

Proof. We present a second proof of the Gelfand–Levitan equation based on the formula (4.34) for F, which is similar to [**10**] and [**23**, Chap. 12].

For $f, g \in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ we consider

$$\mathrm{I}(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dt f(x)^* Y(x,\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) e_0(t,\lambda)^* g(t). \tag{4.40}$$

Substituting (4.5) for Y we find using the Parseval equality and Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}(f,g) = (f,g) &+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) g(t) dx dt \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty dt f(x)^* \int_0^\infty K(x,s) e_0(s,\lambda) ds d\sigma(\lambda) e_0(t,\lambda)^* g(t)}_{\mathrm{II}(f,g)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

$$\mathrm{II}(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^\infty ds \int_0^\infty f(x)^* K(x,s) dx e_0(s,\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) e_0(t,\lambda)^* g(t).$$

Writing $d\sigma = d\Sigma + d\sigma_0$ and using Lemma 4.6 we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{II}(f,g) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* K(x,t) g(t) dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* K(x,s) F(s,t) g(t) dx dt ds,\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{I}(f,g) = (f,g) + \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* \big[F(x,y) + K(x,y) + \int_0^x K(x,t)F(t,y)dt\big] g(y) dx dy.\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Now if $\operatorname{supp} f \subset [b,\infty)$, $\operatorname{supp} g \subset [0,a]$, $a < b$, then $(f,g) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty e_0(x,\lambda)^* g(x) dx$$

is the $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q}$–transform of

$$g(x)+\int_x^\infty R(t,x)^* g(t)dt$$

which also has support in $[0,a]$, hence by the Parseval equality $\mathrm{I}(f,g)=0$. This implies the assertion. $\square$

5. The inverse problem

5.1. The main result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $B=\operatorname{diag}(B_1,-B_2)$ be an arbitrary nonsingular self–adjoint matrix of signature 0. Let $\sigma(\lambda)$ be a $n\times n$ matrix function satisfying:*

1. *If $g\in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda)=0,$$

where G_0 is the $\mathscr{F}_{H,0}$–transform of g, then $g=0$.

2. *The function*

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{e}_0(x,\lambda)d\Sigma(\lambda)\widetilde{e}_0(t,\lambda)^* \tag{5.1}$$

with $\Sigma=\sigma-\sigma_0$ exists, and has a continuous mixed second derivative

$$F(x,t):=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial t}\widetilde{F}(x,t). \tag{5.1'}$$

Then the Gelfand–Levitan equation (4.39) has a unique continuous solution $K:\Omega\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C})$.

Moreover, if $F(x,t)$ is continuously differentiable, then so is $K(x,t)$.

Proof. Since for fixed x equation (4.39) is a Fredholm equation it suffices to show that the dual equation

$$k(t)+\int_0^x k(s)F(t,s)^* ds=0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $k:[0,x]\to M(2n,\mathbb{C})$ is square integrable, has only the zero solution. Looking at the individual columns in (5.2) it suffices to show that

$$g(t)^*+\int_0^x g(s)^*F(t,s)^*ds=0,\quad g\in L^2([0,x],\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \tag{5.3}$$

implies $g=0$. Extending g by 0 to $\mathbb{R}_+$ we may consider g as an element of $L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and (5.3) implies in view of 2. and Proposition 4.6, 3.

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \|g\|^2+\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty g(s)^*F(s,t)g(t)dsdt\\ &= \|g\|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^*d\Sigma(\lambda)G_0(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^*d\sigma(\lambda)G_0(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

and thus $g=0$ by 1.

The proof of C^1–smoothness of $K(x,t)$ is similar to that used in [**23**] and [**10**] and is omitted. $\square$

Next we prove the main result of this paper:

THEOREM 5.2. *Let* $B = \operatorname{diag}(B_1, -B_2)$ *be an arbitrary nonsingular self–adjoint matrix of signature* 0 *as in* (1.1). *Let* $\sigma(\lambda)$ *be an increasing (right–continuous,* $\sigma(0) = 0$*)* $n \times n$ *matrix function satisfying the conditions* 1. *and* 2. *of Proposition* 5.1.

Then there exists a unique continuous $2n \times 2n$ *matrix potential* Q *satisfying* (4.3) *such that the corresponding system* (3.2) *is of class* (T_B) *and such that* σ *is its spectral measure function.* $Q(x)$ *has* p *continuous derivative iff* $D_x^p D_t^p F(x,t)$ *is continuous.*

Conversely, if σ *is the spectral measure function of the boundary value problem* (3.2) *of class* (T_B) *then the conditions* 1. *and* 2. *of Proposition* 5.1 *hold.*

PROOF. The necessity was proved in Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6.

To prove the sufficiency we assume that the conditions 1. and 2. of Proposition 5.1 hold:

i) Starting with $\sigma(\lambda)$ we define $\widetilde{F}, F$ by (5.1) and (5.1'). Then we consider the Gelfand–Levitan equation (4.39)

$$\Phi(x,t) := F(x,t) + K(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s)F(s,t)ds = 0, \quad x > t. \tag{5.4}$$

By Proposition 5.1 this equation has a unique continuous solution $K : \Omega \to \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Then F also equals the right hand side of (4.21): namely, starting with K we consider the operator R of the form (4.17) and introduce F_1 by (4.21). According to Proposition 4.3 F_1 and K are connected by equation (4.24). Thus F defined by (5.1) and F_1 defined by (4.21) satisfy the equation (5.4) and therefore we infer from Proposition 4.3 that $F = F_1$.

We collect further properties of F: in view of (4.21) we have

$$F(x,t) = F(t,x)^*. \tag{5.5a}$$

By continuity, the equation (4.21) also holds for $x = t$ and consequently $R(x,x)$ is self–adjoint. Therefore, so is $K(x,x) = -R(x,x)$. Furthermore,

$$\partial_t F(x,t)B = -B\partial_x F(x,t), \tag{5.5b}$$

where this equality holds in the distributional sense if F is only continuous. To see this let $f, g \in C_0^\infty((0,\infty), \mathbb{C}^{2n})$. In view of (4.32) and (3.10) applied with

$Q = 0$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty & f(x)^* \partial_t F(x,t) B g(t) dx dt \\ &= -i \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) \frac{1}{i} B \partial_t g(t) dx dt \\ &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathscr{F}_{H,0} f)(\lambda)^* d\Sigma(\lambda) \lambda (\mathscr{F}_{H,0} g)(\lambda) \\ &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathscr{F}_{H,0} \frac{1}{i} B f')(\lambda)^* d\Sigma(\lambda) (\mathscr{F}_{H,0} g)(\lambda) \\ &= -\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* B \partial_x F(x,t) g(t) dx dt.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from (5.1) and (2.11) that with some matrix function $T(t)$ we have

$$F(0,t) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} T(t). \tag{5.5c}$$

We now define (cf. (4.5))

$$Y(x,\lambda) = e_0(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K(x,t) e_0(t,\lambda) dt \tag{5.6}$$

and we will show that the properties (5.5a-c) imply that $Y(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial value problem

$$B \frac{1}{i} \frac{dY(x,\lambda)}{dx} + Q(x) Y(x,\lambda) = \lambda Y(x,\lambda), \quad Y(0,\lambda) = e_0(0,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$Q(x) := iBK(x,x) - iK(x,x)B. \tag{5.8}$$

Note that since $K(x,x)$ is self–adjoint $Q(x)$ is self–adjoint, too. Moreover, from (5.8) we also conclude that $Q(x)$ is off–diagonal, i.e. $Q_{ii} = 0$.

It follows from (5.5c) that

$$F(x,0) B F(0,t) = T(x)^* [B_1 - H^* B_2 H] T(t) = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Plugging (5.9) into the Gelfand–Levitan equation (5.4) gives

$$K(x,0) B \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [0,\infty). \tag{5.10}$$

ii) For the moment we assume in addition that F is continuously differentiable. Then by Proposition 5.1 K also is continuously differentiable. Differentiating (5.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B\partial_x\Phi(x,t) &= B\partial_x F(x,t) + B\partial_x K(x,t) + BK(x,x)F(x,t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^x B\partial_x K(x,s)F(s,t)ds = 0, \qquad (5.11) \\ \partial_t\Phi(x,t)B &= \partial_t F(x,t)B + \partial_t K(x,t)B \\ &\quad + \int_0^x K(x,s)\partial_t F(s,t)Bds = 0. \qquad (5.12) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (5.5b) and (5.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x K(x,s)\partial_t F(s,t)Bds &= -\int_0^x K(x,s)B\partial_s F(s,t)ds \\ = \int_0^x \partial_s K(x,s)BF(s,t)ds &- K(x,x)BF(x,t). \qquad (5.13) \end{aligned}$$

Adding up (5.11) and (5.12) and using (5.13) and the Gelfand–Levitan equation (5.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &B\partial_x K(x,t) + \partial_t K(x,t)B + iQ(x)K(x,t) \\ &+ \int_0^x [B\partial_x K(x,s) + \partial_s K(x,s)B + iQ(x)K(x,s)]F(s,t)ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the homogeneous integral equation corresponding to the Gelfand–Levitan equation (5.4) has only the trivial solution (see the proof of Proposition 5.1) we infer from (5.5) that

$$B\partial_x K(x,t) + \partial_t K(x,t)B + iQ(x)K(x,t) = 0. \qquad (5.14)$$

Since K satisfies the relations (5.10), (5.8) and (5.14) it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $Y(x,\lambda)$ (cf. (5.6)) satisfies the initial value problem (5.7).

iii) We now assume that F is just continuous. Assume for the moment that for $\delta > 0$ we have a continuously differentiable matrix function $F^\delta : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \to \mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ with the properties:

F^δ converges to F as $\delta \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}_+^2$. (5.15a)

F^δ satisfies (5.5a-c). (5.15b)

We fix $x_0 > 0$. For $0 < x \leq x_0$ let T_F be the integral operator in $C([0,x],\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ defined by $(T_F f)(t) := \int_0^x f(s)F(s,t)ds$. The proof of Proposition 5.1 shows that $-1 \notin \operatorname{spec} T_F$. Thus for $\delta \leq \delta_0(x_0)$ we have $-1 \notin \operatorname{spec} T_{F^\delta}$ and the Gelfand–Levitan equations

$$((I + T_{F^\delta})K_\delta(x,.))(t) = K_\delta(x,t) + \int_0^x K_\delta(x,s)F^\delta(s,t)ds = -F^\delta(x,t) \quad (5.16)$$

have (for each fixed $x \in (0,x_0]$) unique solutions $K_\delta(x,t)$, $(x,t) \in [0,x_0]^2$, which converge to K as $\delta \to 0$ uniformly on $[0,x_0]^2$. Since F^δ is C^1 it can be shown (cf. the proof of Proposition 5.1) that K_δ is C^1, too.

Moreover, K_δ satisfies (5.10) for $0 < x \leq x_0$ which follows from (5.15a) and (5.16). Now part ii) of this proof shows that K_δ also satisfies (5.14) with $Q_\delta(x) := iBK_\delta(x,x) - iK_\delta(x,x)B, x \in [0,x_0]$. Hence, K_δ satisfies (4.6a-c) (on $[0,x_0]^2$) and therefore,

$$Y_\delta(x,\lambda) := e_0(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K_\delta(x,t)e_0(t,\lambda)dt, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0,$$

satisfies the initial value problem (5.7) with Q_δ instead of Q.

Since $F^\delta(x,t)^* = F^\delta(t,x)$ one concludes as in part i) of this proof that $Q_\delta(x)^* = Q_\delta(x)$.

Since K_δ converges to K as $\delta \to 0$ uniformly on $[0,x_0]^2$, Q_δ converges to Q uniformly on $[0,x_0]$. Thus $Y(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial value problem (5.7) on $[0,x_0]$. Since x_0 was arbitrary $Y(x,\lambda)$ satisfies (5.7) on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

It remains to prove the existence of the sequence F^δ:

Let $F(x,t) := (F_{ij}(x,t))_{i,j=1}^r$ be the block–matrix representation with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$.

It follows from (5.1) and (5.1') that

$$F_{ij}(x,t) = f_{ij}(\mu_i x - \mu_j t), \qquad f_{ij}(\xi) = H_i g(\xi) H_j^*, \tag{5.17}$$

with $\mu_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $H_1 := I_{n_1} = I_n$. Here the map $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{M}(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$ is continuous and satisfies $g(\xi)^* = g(-\xi)$. Therefore the maps $f_{ij} : \mathbb{R} \to M(n_i \times n_j, \mathbb{C})$ are continuous and satisfy $f_{ij}(\xi)^* = f_{ji}(-\xi)$. We note that if the measure $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is finite, that is $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |d\Sigma(\lambda)| \in M(n,\mathbb{C})$, then $g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\xi} d\Sigma(\lambda)$.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} g^\delta(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{2\delta}\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\xi+\delta} g(s)ds, \\ f_{ij}^\delta(\xi) &:= H_i g^\delta(\xi) H_j^* \ , \quad F_{ij}^\delta(x,t) := f_{ij}^\delta(\mu_i x - \mu_j t), \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

and $F^\delta(x,t) := (F_{ij}^\delta(x,t))_{i,j=1}^r$.

Obviously, F^δ is continuously differentiable and satisfies (5.15). It is clear from (5.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} g^\delta(\xi)^* &= \frac{1}{2\delta}\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\xi+\delta} g^\delta(s)^* ds = \frac{1}{2\delta}\int_{\xi-\delta}^{\xi+\delta} g^\delta(-s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\delta}\int_{-\xi-\delta}^{-\xi+\delta} g^\delta(s)ds = g^\delta(-\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

and thus $f_{ij}^\delta(\xi)^* = f_{ji}^\delta(-\xi)$.

In view of (5.18) and (5.19) F^δ satisfies (5.5a,b). To prove the property (5.5c) for F^δ we note that in view of (5.17) and (5.18) $F_{ij}^\delta(0,t) = f_{ij}^\delta(-\mu_j t) = H_i g^\delta(-\mu_j t) H_j^*$ and consequently

$$F^\delta(0,t) = (F_{ij}^\delta(0,t))_{i,j=1}^r = (H_i g^\delta(-\mu_j t) H_j^*)_{i,j=1}^r =: \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} T^\delta(t), \tag{5.20}$$

where $T^\delta(t) = (g^\delta(-\mu_1 t)H_1^*, g^\delta(-\mu_2 t)H_2^*, \dots, g^\delta(-\mu_r t)H_r^*)$.

This proves that F^δ satisfies (5.5c). Summing up, we have proved that F^δ satisfies (5.15,b).

iv) Starting with an increasing $n \times n$ matrix function $\sigma(\lambda)$ satisfying the conditions 1. and 2. of Proposition 5.1 we have constructed the boundary value problem (3.2) resp. (5.7). To complete the proof it remains to show that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is, in fact, the spectral function for the problem (5.7).

Let $\varrho(\lambda)$ be the spectral function of the problem (5.7). Starting with $\Sigma_\varrho := \varrho - \sigma_0$ we define F_ϱ by (5.1'). Then by Theorem 4.8 K satisfies the Gelfand-Levitan equation (4.39) with F_ϱ. On the other hand, in view of (5.4) K satisfies the Gelfand–Levitan equation with F instead of F_ϱ. From Proposition 4.3 we infer $F = F_\varrho$. By Remark 4.7 this implies $\varrho = \sigma$. □

Remark 5.3. 1. The case $n = 1$ and $B_1 = B_2 = 1$, i.e. the case of a 2×2 Dirac system, is due to M. Gasymov and B. Levitan [**9**], [**23**, Chap. 12]. We note, however, that the proof in [**23**, Chap. 12] is incomplete, since the self-adjointness of Q is not proved.

2. We also note that following Krein's method [**17**] L. Sakhnovich [**29**, Chap. 3, §3] has obtained some (implicit) sufficient conditions for a matrix measure to be the spectral function of a canonical system.

5.2. Some complements to the main result.

Next we will discuss several other criteria which imply conditions 1. or 2. of Proposition 5.1. For brevity, in the sequel we will address them just as "condition 1./2.". As in the proof of Theorem 3.7 we denote by $\Lambda : L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}), (\Lambda g)(\lambda) := \lambda g(\lambda)$ the operator of multiplication by λ. Furthermore, we denote by $\mu_T(\lambda_0)$ the multiplicity of the spectrum of a self–adjoint operator T at the point λ_0. We first note some simple facts:

Remark 5.4. 1. If $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is increasing then condition 1. is trivially fulfilled. For let $g \in L^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda) d\sigma_0(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where G_0 is the $\mathscr{F}_{H,0}$–transform of g. Since $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is assumed to be increasing both summands on the right hand side of (5.21) are nonnegative and hence 0. Then Proposition 3.5 implies $g = 0$.

2. Assume that the matrix measure $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is finite, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |d\Sigma(\lambda)| \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then condition 2. is obviously fulfilled.

Recall that a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to have finite density (cf. [**21**]) if

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} |\{x \in X \mid |x| \le R\}| < \infty. \tag{5.22}$$

Otherwise, X is said to have infinite density.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $B = (B_1, -B_2)$ be as in* (1.1). *For an increasing $n \times n$ matrix function σ the condition*

1'. *The set* $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \mu_\Lambda(\lambda) = n\}$ *has infinite density implies condition* 1.

Proof. Let $\sigma(\lambda) = (\sigma_{ij}(\lambda))_{i,j=1}^n$ and $\varrho(\lambda) := \operatorname{tr}\sigma(\lambda) = \sigma_{11}(\lambda) + \ldots + \sigma_{nn}(\lambda)$. From the inequality

$$|\sigma_{ij}(\lambda) - \sigma_{ij}(\mu)| \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{ii}(\lambda) - \sigma_{ii}(\mu)}\sqrt{\sigma_{jj}(\lambda) - \sigma_{jj}(\mu)}, \quad \mu < \lambda,$$

we infer that $d\sigma_{ij}(\lambda)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $d\varrho(\lambda)$. Hence, by the Radon–Nikodym Theorem there exists a density matrix

$$\Phi(\lambda) = (\phi_{ij}(\lambda))_{i,j=1}^n, \tag{5.23}$$

such that

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \int_{(0,\lambda]} \Phi(t) d\varrho(t), & \lambda \geq 0, \\ -\int_{(\lambda,0]} \Phi(t) d\varrho(t), & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\Phi(\lambda) \geq 0$ and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma) &= \operatorname{supp}(\det \Phi d\varrho) \\ &= \Big\{\lambda \in \operatorname{supp}(d\varrho) \;\Big|\; \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \det \Phi(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda) > 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

To check condition 1. let $g \in L^2([0,b], \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ with

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(\lambda)^* \Phi(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda).$$

Then we have $G_0(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma)$.

On the other hand $G_0(\lambda)$ is an entire (vector) function of strict order one and hence (cf. [**21**]) either $G_0 = 0$ or the set of its zeros is of finite density. But since $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma)$ is assumed to have infinite density, we must have $G = 0$. □

Remark 5.6. 1. Condition 1.' of the previous proposition is satisfied if $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma)$ has at least one finite limit point.

2. Note that if $n = 1$ then $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma) = \operatorname{supp}(d\sigma)$ equals the support of the Radon measure $d\sigma$.

Corollary 5.7. *Let* B *be as before and* $\sigma_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1}\lambda$. *If*

(i) *the measure* $d\sigma$ *is discrete,*
(ii) $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma) = \operatorname{supp}(d\varrho)$,
(iii) *the measure* $d\Sigma = d\sigma - d\sigma_0$, *is finite, i.e.* $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |d\Sigma(\lambda)| \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Then σ *satisfies condition* 1.' *of Proposition* 5.5 *and hence condition* 1.

Proof. Since $d\Sigma(\lambda)$ is finite we have

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1}\lambda + C_\pm + o(1), \qquad \lambda \to \pm\infty, \tag{5.25}$$

where $C_\pm := \Sigma(\pm\infty) = C_\pm^*$. Hence

$$\varrho(\lambda) = c_0\lambda + c_1 + o(1), \qquad \lambda \to +\infty. \tag{5.26}$$

Since $d\sigma$ and hence $d\varrho$ is discrete, we infer from (5.26) that the set of discontinuities of ϱ has infinite density. Hence, by (ii) the set $\operatorname{supp}_n(d\sigma)$ has infinite density. $\square$

Remark 5.8. Note that this proof only uses the asymptotic relation (5.25) which is slightly weaker than the finiteness of the measure $d\Sigma$ (since the $o(1)$ need not be of bounded variation).

Finally, we give a criterion for the condition 2.

Proposition 5.9. *The condition* 2. *is fulfilled if the limit*

$$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{|\lambda|\le\Lambda} e_0(x,\lambda)d\Sigma(\lambda)e_0(t,\lambda)^* \tag{5.27}$$

exists locally uniformly in x,t. *Then indeed* $F(x,t)$ *is given by* (5.27).

This is the case if the matrix function $\Sigma(\lambda)$ *is integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure and satisfies* $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\pm\infty}\Sigma(\lambda)=0$.

Proof. If the limit (5.27) exists locally uniformly in x,t then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{F}(x,t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{e}_0(x,\lambda)d\Sigma(\lambda)\widetilde{e}_0(t,\lambda)^* \\ &= \int_0^x\int_0^t \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{|\lambda|\le\Lambda} e_0(x',\lambda)d\Sigma(\lambda)e_0(t',\lambda)^* dx'dt'\end{aligned}$$

and we reach the first assertion.

If $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure and satisfies $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\pm\infty}\Sigma(\lambda)=0$, then we apply integration by parts for Lebesgue–Stieltjes integrals to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\lambda|\le\Lambda} e_0(x,\lambda)d\Sigma(\lambda)e_0(t,\lambda)^* &= e_0(x,\lambda)\Sigma(\lambda)e_0(t,\lambda)\,\Big|_{\lambda=-\Lambda}^{\lambda=\Lambda} \\ &\quad - \int_{|\lambda|\le\Lambda}(\partial_\lambda e_0(x,\lambda))\Sigma(\lambda)e_0(t,\lambda)+e_0(x,\lambda)\Sigma(\lambda)(\partial_\lambda e_0(t,\lambda))d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since $e_0(x,\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded and $\partial_\lambda e_0(x,\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded for $|x|\le R$ for each R, we reach the conclusion. $\square$

Corollary 5.10. *The spectral function can be prescribed on an arbitrary finite interval. More precisely, there exists a boundary value problem* (3.2) *with continuous* Q *satisfying* (4.3) *and such that its spectral function* $\sigma(\lambda)$ *coincides on an arbitrary finite interval with a prescribed increasing* $n\times n$ *spectral measure.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that if $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is constant outside a compact interval then it satisfies condition 2. by Remark 5.4 (or the previous proposition) and it satisfies condition 1. by Proposition 5.5. $\square$

Conjecture 5.11. *We conjecture that condition* 1. *in Theorem* 5.2 *is obsolete in general.*

6. Some generalizations, comments, examples

6.1. Generalization of the main result Theorem 5.2. Before we have investigated an operator L of the form (3.2) starting with the operator L_0 (with $Q = 0$). This has an obvious generalization. Namely, we may investigate two operators $L_1 := L_{1,H}, L_2 := L_{2,H}$ and consider L_2 as a perturbation of L_1. More precisely, let

$$L_j = \frac{1}{i} B \frac{d}{dx} + Q_j, \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\mathscr{D}(L_j) = \{f \in \mathscr{D}(L_j^*) \mid f_2(0) = H f_1(0)\}, \quad B_1 = H^* B_2 H. \tag{6.2}$$

Furthermore, let Y_j be the $2n \times n$ matrix solution of the initial value problem (3.3) (with L_j instead of L). If both operators L_j are of class (T_B) then Y_j admits the representation $Y_j(.,\lambda) = (I + K_j)e_0(.,\lambda)$, where K_j is a Volterra operator with kernel $K_j(x,t)$. Therefore

$$Y_2(x,\lambda) = ((I+K)Y_1(.,\lambda))(x) = Y_1(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K(x,t) Y_1(t,\lambda) dt, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$I + K = (1 + K_2)(I + K_1)^{-1}. \tag{6.4}$$

Repeating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 one concludes that if $Q_1, Q_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C}))$ then $K \in C^1(\Omega, \mathrm{M}(2n,\mathbb{C}))$ and, moreover, K satisfies the following Goursat problem

$$B\partial_x K(x,t) + \partial_t K(x,t) B + iQ_2(x) K(x,t) - iK(x,t) Q_1(t) = 0, \tag{6.5a}$$

$$BK(x,x) - K(x,x)B = i(Q_1(x) - Q_2(x)), \tag{6.5b}$$

$$K(x,0) B \begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{6.5c}$$

We also note that (6.5a)–(6.5c) may be deduced directly from (6.4) and (4.6) for K_1, K_2. For example (6.5b) follows from (4.6b) and the identity $K(x,x) = K_2(x,x) - K_1(x,x)$.

Putting $R := (I+K)^{-1} - I$ we obtain from (6.3)

$$Y_1(x,\lambda) = ((I+R)Y_2(.,\lambda))(x) = Y_2(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x R(x,t) Y_2(t,\lambda) dt. \tag{6.6}$$

Since Proposition 4.3 remains valid in the case under consideration, the following result, being a complete analog of Proposition 4.6, may be obtained in the same way as Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\sigma_j(\lambda)$ be the $n \times n$ spectral function (cf. Proposition 3.5) of the operator $L_j, j = 1,2$, and $\Sigma := \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$.*

1. *Let L_j be of class (T_B) and let $F(x,t)$ be defined by (4.31) with $R(x,t)$ being the kernel of the transformation operator (6.6). Then we have for all*

$f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_1(\lambda)^* d\Sigma(\lambda) G_1(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^* F(x,t) g(t) dx dt, \tag{6.7}$$

where F_1 *and* G_1 *are the* $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q_1}$*-transforms of* f *and* g *respectively.*

2. *Again assuming* L_j *to be of class* (T_B) *we put*

$$\widetilde{Y}_1(x,\lambda) := \int_0^x Y_1(t,\lambda) dt.$$

Then the function

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}_1(x,\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) \widetilde{Y}_1(t,\lambda)^* \tag{6.8}$$

exists and has a continuous mixed second derivative which coincides with $F(x,t)$, *i.e.* $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \widetilde{F}(x,t) = F(x,t)$.

3. *Conversely, given any increasing* $n \times n$ *matrix function* σ_2 *put* $\Sigma := \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$. *If the integral* (6.8) *exists and has a continuous mixed second derivative* $F_1(x,t) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \widetilde{F}(x,t)$ *then* (6.7) *holds for all* $f, g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ *with* F_1 *instead of* F.

Again, we emphasize that 3. holds for arbitrary L of the form (3.2) not necessarily being of class (T_B).

Combining Propositions 6.1 and 4.3 we arrive at the Gelfand-Levitan equation:

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Let* L_j *be of class* (T_B) *and let* σ_j *be the spectral function of the problem* (3.2) *with* $Q_j = Q_j^*$, $j = 1, 2$, *instead of* Q. *Then with* F *defined by* (6.8) *we have the Gelfand-Levitan equation* (4.39).

Now we are ready to present a generalization of the main result (Theorem 5.2).

THEOREM 6.3. *Let* $\sigma_1(\lambda)$ *be the spectral function of the operator* L_1 *of the form* (6.1). *For an increasing* $n \times n$ *matrix function* $\sigma(\lambda)$ *to be the spectral function of the boundary value problem* (3.2) *with (unique) continuous* $2n \times 2n$ *matrix potential* Q *satisfying* (4.3) *it is sufficient that the following conditions hold:*

1. *If* $g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ *and if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_1(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_1(\lambda) = 0,$$

where G_1 *is the* $\mathscr{F}_{H,Q_1}$*–transform of* g, *then* $g = 0$.

2. *The function*

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}_1(x,\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) \widetilde{Y}_1(t,\lambda)^*, \qquad \widetilde{Y}_1(x,\lambda) := \int_0^x Y_1(t,\lambda) dt, \tag{6.9}$$

with $\Sigma = \sigma - \sigma_1$ *exists and has a continuous mixed second derivative*

$$F(x,t) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \widetilde{F}(x,t). \tag{6.9'}$$

Moreover Q has m continuous derivatives if and only if $D_x^m D_t^m F(x,t)$ exists and is continuous.

Again, if we content ourselves to operators of class (T_B) then the conditions 1. *and* 2. *are also necessary.*

Sketch of Proof. The necessity is proved in just the same way as Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6.
Sufficiency: Starting with $\sigma(\lambda)$ we define $\widetilde{F}, F$ by (6.8) with $\Sigma(\lambda) := \sigma(\lambda) - \sigma_1(\lambda)$. Then we consider the Gelfand-Levitan equation

$$F(x,t) + K(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,s)F(s,t)ds = 0, \quad t < x. \tag{6.10}$$

with F defined by (6.8). Following the proof of Proposition 5.1 one concludes that (6.10) has a continuous solution $K : \Omega \to \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Next we define $Y(x,\lambda)$ setting $Y(.,\lambda) = (I+K)Y_1(.,\lambda)$ and show that $Y(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial value problem (5.7) with

$$Q(x) = Q_1(x) + iBK(x,x) - iK(x,x)B. \tag{6.11}$$

Since Q_1 satisfies (4.3) we infer from (6.11) that Q also satisfies (4.3). Moreover the self-adjointness of Q may be proved as in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Furthermore, we note that if F is continuously differentiable it satisfies the equality

$$BD_xF(x,t) + D_tF(x,t)B = -iQ_1(x)F(x,t) + iF(x,t)Q_1(t) \tag{6.12}$$

and according to Proposition 5.1 K is continuously differentiable, too. If F is just continuous then (6.12) still holds in the distributional sense. This is shown similar to (5.5b).

Since $Y_1(0,\lambda) = \binom{I}{H}$ we may argue exactly as in (5.5c), (5.9), (5.10) to obtain

$$K(x,0)B\begin{pmatrix} I \\ H \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [0,\infty). \tag{6.13}$$

In view of (6.10)-(6.13) the relation (6.5a) for K is proved along the same lines as part ii) of the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Thus K satisfies the initial value problem (6.5a)–(6.5c). Therefore $Y(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial value problem (5.7) with Q defined by (6.11).

If now F is just continuous then one proceeds as in part iii) of the proof of Theorem 5.2.

That σ is indeed the spectral function of the problem (5.7) with Q from (6.11) is shown as part iv) of Theorem 5.2. Instead of (5.1), Theorem 4.8, (5.4), and Proposition 4.6 one uses (6.8), Proposition 6.2, (6.10), and Proposition 6.1. □

6.2. The degenerate Gelfand–Levitan equation. We discuss solutions of the Gelfand–Levitan equation in the special case where $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is a step function:

We consider the situation of Theorem 6.3 and fix an operator L_1 of the form (6.1) with spectral function $\sigma_1(\lambda)$.

Let $A \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a hermitian nonnegative matrix and

$$\Sigma(\lambda) := A \, 1_{[a,\infty)}(\lambda) \tag{6.14}$$

an increasing step function with one jump of "height" A.

We show that

$$\sigma := \sigma_1 + \Sigma \tag{6.15}$$

is the spectral function of the boundary value problem (3.2) for some (unique) continuous self–adjoint $2n \times 2n$–matrix potential Q satisfying (4.3).

Since jumps of the spectral function correspond to eigenvalues this shows in particular that for a given potential Q_1 and given real number a there is a potential Q such that

$$\operatorname{spec}(L_1 + Q - Q_1) = \operatorname{spec}(L_1) \cup \{a\}. \tag{6.16}$$

For the proof we have to verify the conditions 1. and 2. of Theorem 5.3. By Remark 5.4 condition 1. is fulfilled since A is nonnegative. To verify 2. we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}(x,t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{Y}_1(x,\lambda) d\Sigma(\lambda) \widetilde{Y}_1(t,\lambda)^* \\ &= \widetilde{Y}_1(x,a) A \widetilde{Y}_1(t,a)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, this has a continuous mixed second derivative, namely

$$F(x,t) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \widetilde{F}(x,t) = Y_1(x,a) A Y_1(t,a)^*. \tag{6.17}$$

In this case we can solve the Gelfand–Levitan equation explicitly. First we introduce for $x > 0$

$$T(x) := \int_0^x Y_1(s,a)^* Y_1(s,a) ds. \tag{6.18}$$

From

$$Y_1(0,a)^* Y_1(0,a) = I + H^* H \geq I$$

we infer that $T(x) > 0$ is positive definite for $x \geq 0$.

We put for $t \leq x$

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,t) &:= -Y_1(x,a) A Y_1(t,a)^* + \\ &\quad + Y_1(x,a) A T(x) A^{1/2} (I + A^{1/2} T(x) A^{1/2})^{-1} A^{1/2} Y_1(t,a)^* \\ &= -Y_1(x,a) A^{1/2} (I + A^{1/2} T(x) A^{1/2})^{-1} A^{1/2} Y_1(t,a)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

Note that $(I + A^{1/2} T(x) A^{1/2}) \geq I$ is positive definite, thus invertible. We abbreviate $S(x) := A^{1/2}(I + A^{1/2} T(x) A^{1/2})^{-1} A^{1/2}$. If A is positive definite then we simply have $S(x) = (A^{-1} + T(x))^{-1}$.

One immediately checks that $K(x,t)$ solves the Gelfand–Levitan equation (6.10) corresponding to F and consequently determines Q by means of of (6.11).

Summarizing the previous considerations we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4. *Let L_1 be an operator of the form* (6.1), (6.2) *with the spectral function $\sigma_1(\lambda)$ and let $\Sigma(\lambda)$ be of the form* (6.14). *Then $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \Sigma$ is the spectral function of the boundary value problem* (3.2) *with $2n \times 2n$ matrix potential*

$$Q(x) = Q_1(x) + i\{Y_1(x,a)S(x)Y_1^*(x,a)B - BY_1(x,a)S(x)Y_1^*(x,a)\}.$$

Corollary 6.5. *Under the assumptions of the previous Proposition* 6.4 *let $Q_1 = 0$ (i.e. $L_1 = -iB\frac{d}{dx}$). Then the $2n \times 2n$ matrix potential corresponding to the spectral function $\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda_1}\lambda I_n + \Sigma(\lambda)$ with one jump of "height" A is given by*

$$Q(x) = ie^{iaB^{-1}x}\{\widetilde{S}(x)B - B\widetilde{S}(x)\}e^{-iaB^{-1}x},$$

where $\widetilde{S}(x) := \binom{I}{H}A^{1/2}(I + xA^{1/2}(I + H^*H)A^{1/2})^{-1}A^{1/2}(I, H^*)$.

If Σ is a general increasing step function then the Gelfand–Levitan equation is still solvable. However, we do not have such an explicit formula:

Proposition 6.6. *Let L_1 be an operator of the form* (6.1), (6.2) *with spectral function $\sigma_1(\lambda)$. Furthermore, let $-\infty < a_1 < \ldots < a_r < \infty$ be real numbers and $A_j \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ nonnegative matrices.*

Then for the increasing step function

$$\Sigma(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_j 1_{[a_j,\infty)}(\lambda)$$

there exists a unique continuous matrix potential Q satisfying (4.3) *such that $\sigma_1 + \Sigma$ is the spectral function of $L_1 + Q - Q_1$.*

Namely, Q is uniquely determined by (6.11) *with $K(x,t)$ being the solution of the Gelfand–Levitan equation* (6.10).

Proof. This follows by induction from Theorem 6.3 and the preceding discussion.

The conditions 1. and 2. of Theorem 6.3 can also immediately be checked directly: namely, condition 1. is fulfilled in view of Remark 5.4 since Σ is increasing. Condition 2. follows immediately from

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \widetilde{Y}_1(x,a_j)A_j\widetilde{Y}_1(t,a_j)^* \quad \text{and} \quad F(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} Y_1(x,a_j)A_jY_1(t,a_j)^*.$$

□

6.3. On unitary invariants of $2n \times 2n$ systems. It is well–known that a selfadjoint operator A in a Hilbert space is uniquely determined (up to unitary equivalence) by the spectral type $[E_A]$ and the multiplicity function N_{E_A}. In this section we will show that there exist potentials Q such that the corresponding operator L_H has constant multiplicity one and $[E]$ is of pure type (absolute continuous, singular continuous, pure point).

Definition 6.7. An increasing function $\mu : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ on the real line will be called p–admissible if there exists a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers, $(x_\nu)_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}$, such that

1. $x_0 = 0$,
2. the sequence $(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)$ is square summable,
3. $\lim\limits_{\nu\to\pm\infty} x_\nu = \pm\infty$,
4. $\mu(a_{\nu j}) < \mu(a_{\nu,j+1})$, where $a_{\nu j} := x_\nu + j2^{-n-p}(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)$, $j = 0, \dots, 2^{n+p} - 1$.

In particular, a strictly increasing function μ is p–admissible for any p.

We will show that for a p–admissible increasing function μ there exists an operator L_H of the form (3.2) such that its spectral measure $E := E_{L_H}$ satisfies

$$[E] = [d\mu], \qquad N_E(x) = p \text{ for } \mu\text{- a.e. } \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular there exist $2n \times 2n$ systems such that each point in the spectrum has multiplicity one. To prove this result we will use the criteria from the end of Section 5.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $B = (B_1, -B_2) \in \mathrm{M}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ be a matrix as in* (1.1) *and $H \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as in* (2.8). *Let μ be a p–admissible increasing function on the real line, $1 \le p \le n$. Then there exists a continuous potential Q satisfying* (4.3) *and such that the corresponding operator L_H is unitary equivalent to the operator $\Lambda_p = \oplus_1^p \Lambda_1$, where*

$$\Lambda_1 : L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}), \quad \Lambda_1 f(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda).$$

Proof. Let (ψ_j) be the Rademacher functions [**31**, Sec. I.3], i.e. $\psi_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function of period one, such that

$$\psi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < x \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{6.20}$$

and

$$\psi_j(x) = \psi_1(2^j x). \tag{6.21}$$

(In [**31**, Sec. I.3] one puts $\psi_1(1/2) = 0$). ψ_j takes values ± 1. The set (ψ_j) is orthonormal in $L^2[0,1]$ and

$$\int_0^1 \psi_j(x)dx = 0. \tag{6.22}$$

We put

$$\psi_0(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\lambda) & \psi_2(\lambda) & \dots & \psi_n(\lambda) \\ \psi_2(\lambda) & \psi_3(\lambda) & \dots & \psi_{n+1}(\lambda) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \psi_p(\lambda) & \psi_{p+1}(\lambda) & \dots & \psi_{n+p-1}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.23}$$

$$\psi(\lambda) := \psi_0\Big(\frac{\lambda - x_\nu}{x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu}\Big), \quad \text{for } x_\nu \le \lambda < x_{\nu+1}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\Phi(\lambda) := p^{-1}\frac{1}{2\pi} B_1^{-1/2} \psi(\lambda)^* \psi(\lambda) B_1^{-1/2}. \tag{6.25}$$

$\Phi(\lambda)$ is a symmetric nonnegative matrix of rank p for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, let

$$f(x) := \frac{a_{\nu,j+1} - a_{\nu j}}{\mu(a_{\nu,j+1}) - \mu(a_{\nu j})}, \quad a_{\nu j} < x \le a_{\nu,j+1}, \tag{6.26}$$

and put

$$\varrho(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \int_{(0,\lambda]} f(t)d\mu(t), & \lambda > 0, \\ -\int_{(\lambda,0]} f(t)d\mu(t), & \lambda \le 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.27}$$

Obviously the measures $d\varrho$ and $d\mu$ are mutually equivalent and

$$\varrho(a_{\nu j}) = a_{\nu j}. \tag{6.28}$$

Finally we put

$$\sigma(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \int_{(0,\lambda]} \Phi(t)d\varrho(t), & \lambda > 0, \\ -\int_{(\lambda,0]} \Phi(t)d\varrho(t), & \lambda \le 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.29}$$

Note that in view of (6.22) and the orthonormality of the Rademacher functions we have $\sigma(x_\nu) = \frac{1}{2\pi}B_1^{-1}x_\nu$. Again, by the orthonormality of the Rademacher functions and the fact that the entries of ψ are constant on the intervals $(a_{\nu j}, a_{\nu,j+1}]$, we have for $x_\nu < \lambda \le x_{\nu+1}$

$$\|\sigma(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi}B_1^{-1}x_\nu\| \le \int_{(x_\nu,\lambda]} \|\Phi(t)\|d\varrho(t) \le C(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu), \tag{6.30}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_\nu}^{x_{\nu+1}} \|\sigma(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi}B_1^{-1}\lambda\|d\lambda &\le C' \int_{x_\nu}^{x_{\nu+1}} (x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)d\lambda \\ &= C'(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

Since $(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)_\nu$ is square summable, we infer that the function

$$\Sigma(\lambda) := \sigma(\lambda) - \sigma_0(\lambda)$$

is integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure and $\lim_{\lambda\to\pm\infty} \Sigma(\lambda) = 0$. In view of Proposition 5.9 it satisfies condition 2.

To show that it satisfies condition 1. let $g \in L^2([0,b], \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ with

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(\lambda)^* d\sigma(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(\lambda)^* \Phi(\lambda) G_0(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda).$$

In view of 4. of the definition of admissibility we infer that in each interval $(x_\nu, x_{\nu+1}]$ there exist points $\lambda_{\nu j}, j = 0, .., 2^{n+p} - 1$, such that the vector $B_1^{-1/2}G_0(\lambda_{\nu j})$ lies in the null space of the matrix $\psi_0(j2^{-n-p})$. Since $(x_{\nu+1} - x_\nu)$ is square summable, each of the sequences $(\lambda_{\nu j})_\nu$ is a sequence of infinite density. Noting that $G_0(\lambda)$ is an entire (vector) function of strict order one and of finite type we infer as in the proof of Proposition 5.5 that $B_1^{-1/2}G_0(\lambda)$ lies in the null space of the matrix $\psi_0(j2^{-n-p}), j = 0, ..., 2^{n+p} - 1$, for each λ. It is easy to check that the intersection of these null spaces is 0, hence $G_0(\lambda) = 0$.

By Theorem 5.2 there exists Q satisfying (4.3) such that σ is the spectral measure function of the corresponding operator L_H. This proves the theorem.

□

Corollary 6.9. *For* $1 \leq p \leq n$ *there exist continuous potentials* $Q : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{C})$ *satisfying* (4.3) *such that the corresponding operator* L_H *has*

i) *absolute continuous spectrum of multiplicity* p*,*
ii) *singular continuous spectrum of multiplicity* p*,*
iii) *pure point spectrum of multiplicity* p*.*

Proof. We only have to note that there exist admissible increasing functions $\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}, \mu_{\mathrm{sc}}, \mu_{\mathrm{pp}}$ such that the measures $d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}, d\mu_{\mathrm{sc}}, d\mu_{\mathrm{pp}}$ are absolute continuous, singular continuous, discrete, respectively. Such measures obviously exist. □

References

[1] Z.S. Agranovich and V.A. Marchenko: *The inverse problem of scattering theory.* Gordon and Breach, New York, 1963

[2] D. Alpay and I. Gohberg: *Inverse spectral problem for differential operators with rational scattering matrix functions.* J. Differ. Equations **118** (1995), 1–19

[3] D. Alpay and I. Gohberg: *Potentials associated to rational weights.* In: Operator Theory, Advances and Applications (I. Gohberg, ed.), Vol. 98, 1997, Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 23–40

[4] H. Bart, I. Gohberg and M.A. Kaashoek: *Minimal factorization of matrix and operator functions.* In: Operator Theory, Advances and Applications, Vol. 1, 1997, Birkhäuser, Basel

[5] Y.M. Berezanskii: *Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators.* AMS, Providence, 1968

[6] P. R. Chernoff: *Essential self–adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations.* J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 401–414

[7] E. Coddington and N. Levinson: *Theory of ordinary differential equations.* McGraw Hill, New York, 1955

[8] H. Dym and A. Jacob: *Positive definite extensions, canonical equations and inverse problems.* In: Operator theory, advances and applications, Vol. 12, 1984, Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 141–240

[9] M.G. Gasymov and B.M. Levitan: *The inverse problem for a Dirac system.* Sov. Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 495–499

[10] I.M. Gel'fand and B.M. Levitan: *On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **15** (1951), 309–360 (Russian); Amer. Math. Transl. **1** (1955), 253–304 (Engl. transl.)

[11] F. Gesztesy and H. Holden: *On trace formulas for Schroedinger-type operators.* In: D. G. Truhlar et al. (ed.): *Multiparticle quantum scattering with applications to nuclear, atomic and molecular physics.* IMA Vol. Math. Appl. 89, 1997, Springer, New York, pp. 121-145

[12] F. Gesztesy and B. Simon: *Uniqueness theorems in inverse spectral theory for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 349–373

[13] F. Gesztesy and B. Simon: *Inverse spectral analysis with partial information on the potential. I: The case of an a.c. component in the spectrum.* Helv. Phys. Acta **70** (1997), 66–71

[14] M.G. Krein: *On a general method of decomposing Hermite-positive nuclei into elementary products.* Sov. Math. Dokl. **53** (1946), 3–6

[15] M.G. Krein: *On Hermitian operators with direct functionals.* (Russian) Sbornik trudov Instituta Matemetiki AN USSR **10** (1948), 83–105

[16] M.G. Krein: *On transition function of one-dimensional second order boundary value problem.* Sov. Math. Dokl. **88** (1953), 405–408

[17] M.G. Krein: *Continuous analogs of theorems on polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle.* Sov. Math. Dokl. **105** (1955), 637–640

[18] H.B. Lawson and M.L. Michelsohn: *Spin Geometry.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1989

[19] M. Lesch and M.M. Malamud: *The inverse spectral problem for first order systems on the half line.* SFB 288, Preprint No. 322, Berlin, 1998, 30p.; math.SP/9805033, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math/9805033

[20] M. Lesch and M.M. Malamud: *The inverse spectral problem for systems on the half line.* Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **53** (1998), 157

[21] B.Ya. Levin: *Distribution of Zeros of Entire functions.* Transl. Math. Monographs Vol. 5, AMS , Providence, 1964.

[22] B.M. Levitan: *Inverse Sturm–Liouville problems.* VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1987

[23] B.M. Levitan and I.S. Sargsjan: *Sturm–Liouville and Dirac operators.* Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991

[24] M.M. Malamud: *Spectral analysis of Volterra operators and inverse problems for systems of ordinary operators.* SFB 288, Preprint No. 269, Berlin, 1997

[25] M.M. Malamud: *Uniqueness questions in inverse problems for first order systems on a finite interval.* Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **60** (1999), 204–262

[26] V.A. Marchenko: *Sturm–Liouville operators and applications.* Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986

[27] M.A. Naimark: *Linear differential operators.* Frederick Ungar Publ., New York, 1967

[28] R.G. Newton and R. Jost: *The construction of potentials from the S-matrix for systems of Differential Equations.* Nuovo Cimento **1** (1955), 590–622.

[29] L.A. Sakhnovich: *Factorization problems and operator identities.* Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **41** (1986), 3–55 (Russian); Russ. Math. Surv. **41** (1986), 1–64 (English translation)

[30] L.A. Sakhnovich: *Defekt indices of a first order system of differential equations.* Sibirskii matematicheskii Jurnal **38** (1997), 1360–1361

[31] A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric series.* Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959

Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Unter den Linden 6, D–10099 Berlin, Germany
Current address: Universität Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Beringstr. 1, D–53115 Bonn
E-mail address: `lesch@mathematik.hu-berlin.de, lesch@math.uni-bonn.de`
URL: `http://spectrum.mathematik.hu-berlin.de/∼lesch`

Department of Mathematics, University of Donetsk, Donetsk, Ukraine
E-mail address: `mmm@univ.donetsk.ua`